## Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]

**Sent**: 1/26/2018 10:07:51 PM

To: Stralka, Daniel [Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Navy fact sheet says "no risk." Can you review my draft comment? **Attachments**: RAD\_DataUpdate\_FactSheet 3\_Jan2018\_InternalDistributionOnly.pdf

1. Page 4, first column – In Public Health and Safety, the text states "The Navy has taken several actions since the falsification of data was reported to ensure that there is no risk to public safety. The ongoing evaluation of TtEC radiological data samples is one way that the Navy is ensuring no risk to the public." Superfund regulations in the National Contingency Plan have defined the protective range of excess cancer risk as a probability that a person exposed to radioactive and chemical contaminants will have an additional one in ten thousand to a one in a million chance of developing cancer (technically known as the 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> cancer risk range). While it may not be necessary to go into that much detail in a brief fact sheet such as this, it is not appropriate to use language such as "no risk." The ROD cleanup requirements are based on the NCP as well as other ARAR's. None of these are based on "no risk." Please delete "no risk" and draft alternative more appropriate language. For example, "ensure that the community is protected from exposure to radiation and that the site can be used for work, recreation, and residential purposes" or "achieve the cleanup level needed to protect human health and the environment."

**Sent:** Friday, January 26, 2018 11:18 AM **To:** Stralka, Daniel <Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov>

Subject: Navy fact sheet says "no risk." Can you comment?

Dear Dan,

The Navy is doing a community mtg next Wed. They just sent us this language for the fact sheet:

## Public Health and Safety

The Navy's top priority is its commitment to public health and safety. The Navy has taken several actions since the falsification of data was reported to ensure that there is no risk to public safety. The ongoing evaluation of TtEC radiological data samples is one way that the Navy is ensuring no risk to the public.

What do you think of that?